

college of agriculture & life sciences Cooperative Extension

az1345

IRRIGATION OF SMALL GRAINS IN ARIZONA

Steve Husman and Michael J. Ottman

Water Use and Irrigation Requirement

Wheat and barley use about 2 ft of water in Arizona, but 3 to 3.5 ft of applied water is often required with surface flood irrigation due inefficiencies in the irrigation system. Less irrigation water is required with more efficient irrigation systems such as sprinkler or drip. If 6 inches of water is applied per irrigation, then six or seven irrigations are required during the season. The first postemergence irrigation by soft dough. An example irrigation schedule is presented in Table 1. For an explanation of small grain growth stages and heat units to attain these stages see Ottman (2004).

Irrigation systems

Small grains can be produced successfully with a variety of irrigation systems. Drip and sprinkler irrigation systems can apply smaller amounts of water than surface flood systems, and therefore, less applied water moves past the root zone. Surface flood systems are more efficient at leaching salts, which is a consideration if salts are a problem. Small grains can be grown equally well on beds or flat ground. Beds have an advantage if infiltration is a problem, the field has substantial sidefall, or a sufficient head of water can not be delivered. Growing small grain plants in furrows in a bed system can slow the advance of surface irrigation water, increase water infiltration, but result in less efficient irrigation.

Season Water Use by Small Grains

Water use in small grains is negligible during early development, increases rapidly during jointing, peaks during grain fill, and falls steeply during senescence as the crop turns color (Table 2). Water use is most affected by developmental stage before the crop fully covers the soil surface and after the crop turns color. Otherwise, water use increases as the season progresses due to increased solar radiation and temperature. Water use can be greater than the longer term average on windy days especially.

Stress by stage

Water stress at any stage can reduce yields of smallgrains. However, small grains are most susceptible towater stress during jointing, least susceptible during grainfill, and intermediate in susceptibility during tillering (Dayand Intalap, 1970). Yield is reduced by water stress duringtillering by reduced tiller number. Water stress duringjointing reduces plant height and susceptibility to lodging(Ottman et al., 2001), but also reduces kernels per head andyield potential. Water stress during grain fill can reducekernel weight and result in unacceptable grain test weight.

First Irrigation

The first post-emergence irrigation for wheat and barleyis usually needed by about the 5-leaf stage. Applying thefirst irrigation earlier may temporarily increase cropgrowth but not

Table 1. Example irrigation schedule for durum planted on December 10 at Maricopa on a sandy loam soil.

Stage	Irrigation date
Planting	Dec 10
5-leaf	Feb 04
2 nodes	Feb 27
Pre-boot	Mar 16
Heading to flowering	Mar 30
Milk	Apr 11
Soft dough	Apr 22

Table 2. Average daily water use of durum planted at Maricopa on December 10.

Month	Days	Average daily water use inches/day				
Dec	8-14	0.02				
	15-21	0.02				
	22-31	0.02 0.02				
Jan	1-7					
	8-14	0.03				
	15-21	0.04				
	22-31	0.05				
Feb	1-7	0.06				
	8-14	0.07				
	15-21	0.09				
	22-28	0.10				
Mar	1-7	0.12				
	8-14	0.15				
	15-21	0.18				
	22-31	0.22				
Apr	1-7	0.26				
	8-14	0.32				
	15-21	0.33				
	22-30	0.36				
Мау	1-7	0.34				
	8-14	0.26				
	15-21	0.09				
	22-31	0.01				

increase grain yield, or may actually reducecrop growth through waterlogging or cooling of the soil. Rainfall often allows the first irrigation to be delayed. Thefirst irrigation may be applied early to help in the germina-tion of the seed if the soil crusts or to prevent seedlingdesiccation in cracking soils. The first irrigation may alsobe applied early if the crop has a critical need for nitrogenfertilizer. The price or availability of water is a factor thatmay warrant applying the first irrigation early. Applying the first irrigation too early can result in loss of soilnitrogen by leaching or denitrification (loss as a gas). Waterlogged conditions also hinder nitrogen uptake from the soil since plant roots need oxygen to take up nitrogen. The symptoms of waterlogged soil conditions are yellow-ing and lack of growth of the plants. Delaying the first rrigation as long as possible with the intention of promot-ing root development and improving the ability of the cropto extract deep soil moisture is a questionable practice (Ottman et al., 1987). Plant wilting is usually a sign that the first irrigation is needed or should have been applied sometime earlier.

Last Irrigation

The timing of the last irrigation for wheat and barley is usually a difficult decision. Applying an unnecessary irrigation at the end of the season wastes water and cancause lodging. Conversely, water stress at the end of theseason may reduce kernel weight, test weight, and yield. On a sandy loam soil, the last irrigation is needed at softdough (Husman and Ottman, 1998). About 3 to 4 inches of water is needed to carry the crop from soft dough tomaturity. The average sandy loam soil holds about thisamount of plant available water in the active rooting zone. On sandier soils, the last irrigation may be needed betweensoft dough and hard dough, and on heavier soils, the lastirrigation may be applied before soft dough. Obviously, the timing of last irrigation depends on soil type, theirrigation system, the growth stage of the crop, expected weather conditions, and other factors. Nevertheless, noirrigation water is needed once the heads have completely turned color from green to tan since the crop is mature

Table 3. Grain yield of barley and wheat as affected by soil moisture depletion fraction at irrigation. This research was conducted atMaricopa and the data is an average of two varieties and two years (Husman et al., 1999; Husman et al., 2000a; Husman et al., 2000b;Ottman and Husman, 2002).

	Grain yield					
Soil moisture depletion fraction% of available water	Barley	Wheat				
holding capacity	l	bs/acre				
35	8578	6633				
50	7792	5796				
65	6773	4872				
80	3982	3606				

Table 4. Rooting depth of small grains at various growth stages.

Rooting Depth	Growth Stage
0.5	Emergence
1.0	2 leaf
1.5	4 leaf
2.0	6 leaf
2.5	2 node
3.0	Flag leaf collar visible
3.5	Heading
4.0	Kernel watery (wheat) or kernel milky (barley)

atthis point and the kernels cease to accumulate dry weight. Do not confuse the gradual color change of the cropbetween flowering and hard dough with the tan color of the head that occurs at maturity. It usually is not economi-cal to apply a final irrigation to benefit a few green tillers in a mature crop. A final irrigation is sometimes applied atmaturity not for crop water requirements but to soften thesoil for tillage after harvest.

Critical Soil Moisture Depletion

Small grains should generally be irrigated when 50% of the available water is depleted. However, grain yield wasincreased by 786 lbs/acre for barley and 837 lbs/acre fordurum if irrigations were applied at 35% rather than 50% depletion in a study conducted at the Maricopa Agricul-tural Center (Table 3). The cost of producing this addi-tional grain yield includes one or two additional surfaceflood irrigations, an additional 34 lbs N/ acre, and in-creased harvesting and hauling costs. Therefore, whetheror not irrigating at 35% depletion is economical dependson the difference between the increased costs and increased revenue. Another consideration is the irrigationsystem utilized, since irrigating at 35% depletion rather than 50%

depletion can be achieved without applyingmore water with drip or sprinkler irrigation, but not withsurface flood irrigation.

Irrigation Scheduling Methods

Irrigations can be scheduled using set calendar dates ordays between irrigations based on grower experience, methods that directly measure soil moisture or crop stress, or the soil water balance method. Grower experience isuseful in scheduling irrigations under average conditions, but it is difficult to adjust for unusual weather or variations in irrigation water supply. Soil moisture and crop waterstress can be measured in a variety of ways (Martin, 2001) and calibrated at certain critical levels to trigger irrigation. However, these techniques are more often used in highervalue crops than small grains. The soil water balancemethod can estimate soil moisture and impending cropstress without the investment in sensors and collection of the data they provide, but some accuracy may be lost.

Soil Water Balance Method

The soil water balance method of irrigation schedulingtreats soil water as a "bank" from which water is "with-drawn" by the Table 5. Average available water holding capacity for various soil textures in Arizona. For a listing of available water holding capacities forspecific soils types in Arizona see http://ag.arizona.edu/crops/irrigation/soilcapacities.html .

Soil Texture	Available Water Holding Capacity inches/foot
Sand	0.85
Sand loam	1.38
Sandy clay loam	1.73
Loam	1.94
Silty clay loam	1.94

crop and water is "deposited" by irrigationwhen withdrawals reach a critical level. The critical level is referred to as a maximum allowable depletion, and is theproduct of the acceptable depletion fraction (Table 3), rooting depth (Table 4), and the available water holdingcapacity of the soil (Table 5):

> Maximum allowable depletion (inches) = Depletion fraction x Rooting depth (ft) x Available water (inches/ft)

As an example, if we assume a depletion fraction of 50%, a rooting depth of 3 ft., and available water of 1.73 inches/ft, then an irrigation is triggered when 2.6 inches of waterare used (0.5 x 3 x 1.73). If daily crop water use is 0.20 inches per day, then 2.6 inches of water is used in 13 days(2.6 inches/0.2 inches per day), and the irrigation intervalis 16 days since water use calculation begins 3 days after the previous irrigation to allow for drainage or use of excess water.

Arizona Meteorological Network, AZMET

Daily crop water use can be estimated by multiplyingevapotranspiration for a grass reference crop (ETo) pro-vided by the Arizona Meteorological Network, AZMET(http://ag.arizona.edu/azmet)by a crop coefficient (Kc):

Water use = ETo x Kc

An example of reference evapotranspiration (ETo) pro-vided by AZMET is shown in Table 6. The crop coefficient (Kc) converts evapotranspiration of the grass refer-ence crop (ETo) to evapotranspiration (ET) or water use of the crop of interest. Crop coefficients (Kc) at various growth stages are provided for wheat and barley in Table 7.

Arizona Irrigation Scheduling System, AZSCHED

The computer software program, Arizona IrrigationScheduling System, AZSCHED (http://cals.arizona.edu/crops/irrigation/ azsched/azsched.html), uses the soilwater balance approach to schedule irrigations and auto-matically calculates water use from data provided by theautomated weather stations, AZMET.

Acknowledgements

Much of the research supporting the statements in thispublication was funded by the Arizona Grain Researchand Promotion Council.

References

- Day, A. D., and S. Intalap. 1970. Some effects of soilmoisture stress on the growth of wheat (Triticum aestivumL. em. Thell.). Agronomy Journal 62:27-29.
- Husman, S. H., and M. J. Ottman. 1998. Field-scale demonstrations of timing of the last irrigation in wheat. p. 75-76.Forage and Grain. Univ. Ariz. Coll. Agric. Coop. Ext. ReportSeries P-114. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Husman, S. H., M. J. Ottman, K. L. Johnson, and R. J. Wegener. 1999.
 Durum response to soil water depletion levels. p. 99-103.
 Forage and Grain. Univ. Ariz. Coll. Agric. Coop. Ext.Report Series P-118. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Husman, S. H., M. J. Ottman, K. L. Johnson, and R. J.Wegener.
 2000a. Durum response to soil water depletionlevels. p. 103-107. Forage and Grain. Univ. Ariz. Coll.Agric. Coop. Ext.
 Report Series P-124. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Husman, S. H., M. J. Ottman, R. J. Wegener, and M. T. Rogers.2000b. Barley response to soil water depletion levels, 2000. p.108-111. Forage and Grain. Univ. Ariz. Coll. Agric. Coop. Ext.Report Series P-124. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Martin, E. C. 2001. Methods of determining when toirrigate. Univ. Ariz. Coop. Ext. Coll. Agric. Publication1220. Tucson.
- Ottman, M. J. 2004. Small grain growth and development.Univ. Ariz. Coop. Ext. Coll. Agric. Publication. Tucson.
- Ottman, M., P. Brown, and J. Harper. 1987. Yield and wateruse of wheat as influenced by early irrigation at Maricopa,1987. p. 140-145. Forage and Grain. Univ. Ariz. Coll. Agric.Coop. Ext. Report Series P-71. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Ottman, M. J., and S. H. Husman. 2002. Barley response to soilwater depletion levels at Maricopa, 2002. p. 53-56. Forage andGrain. Univ. Ariz. Coll. Agric. Coop. Ext. Report Series P-132.Univ. Ariz., Tucson.
- Ottman, M. J., S. H. Husman, R. J. Wegener, M. D. Sheedy, K.White, and M. T. Rogers. 2001. Critical growth stages forwater stress in durum, 2001. p. 43-46. Forage and Grain. Univ.Ariz. Coll. Agric. Coop. Ext. Report Series P-128. Univ. Ariz., Tucson.

DAT	Έ	AI	R TI	EMP	REI	L HU	JM	SOIL 4"	TEMP 20"	WI	ND EED	SOLAR RAD	RAIN	ЕТо	HEAT	'UN]	ITS
		MX	MN	AV	MX	MN	AV	AV	AV	~ -	AV	IGD			55	50	45
MAR	21	96	51	75	76	18	39	71	66	25	9.4	453	0.00	0.30	17	21	26
MAR	22	90	66	78	53	24	38	74	67	26	9.4	542	0.00	0.30	22	27	32
MAR	23	91	58	75	77	17	43	71	68	18	3.8	408	0.00	0.18	19	24	29
MAR	24	91	58	76	63	13	32	67	67	15	4.5	523	0.00	0.25	19	24	29
MAR	25	89	59	73	59	15	35	69	67	13	3.6	547	0.00	0.23	19	24	29
MAR	26	83	54	69	66	12	36	69	67	22	7.2	561	0.00	0.28	14	19	24
MAR	27	84	53	69	64	14	35	70	67	15	4.5	566	0.00	0.24	14	19	24
AVERA TOTAL		89	57	74	65	16	37	70	67		6.1	514	0.00	1.78	124	157	192

Table 7. Crop coefficients (Kc) and growing degree days, GDD (86/45 °F) at various growth stages for full season barley and durum.

		Ba	rley	Durum			
Growth stage	Description	GDD	Кс	GDD	Kc		
1 leaf	1 leaf expanded	95	0.37	137	0.30		
2 leaf	2 leaves expanded	130	0.41	212	0.35		
3 leaf	3 leaves expanded	201	0.44	286	0.40		
4 leaf	4 leaves expanded	271	0.48	360	0.46		
5 leaf	5 leaves expanded	342	0.51	434	0.53		
6 leaf	6 leaves expanded	412	0.55	509	0.60		
1 node	1 node above ground	438	0.62	564	0.66		
2 node	2 nodes above ground	602	0.69	675	0.79		
Flag leaf visible	Flag leaf visible	707	0.84	813	0.88		
Flag leaf collar	Flag leaf collar visible	780	0.90	873	0.96		
Boot	Swelling of flag leaf sheath	836	0.93	933	0.99		
Heading	Head emerges	893	0.95	971	1.02		
Flowering	Pollen shed	930	0.98	1142	1.10		
Water	Kernel watery	952	1.04	1306	1.14		
Milk	Kernel milky	1117	1.11	1470	1.16		
Soft dough	Kernel mealy	1282	1.15	1716	1.15		
Hard dough	Kernel hardening, losing color	1529	1.13	1814	1.13		
Maturity	Kernel mature, heads tan	1628	0.96	1962	1.07		
Harvest	Kernel dry, brittle, hard	1777	0.32	2306	0.22		



college of Agriculture & Life sciences Cooperative Extension

THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND LIFE SCIENCES TUCSON, ARIZONA 85721

BARRY TICKES Area Agent, Agriculture

MICHAEL J. OTTMAN Agronomy Specialist

CONTACT: MICHAEL J. OTTMAN mottman@cals.arizona.edu

This information has been reviewed by University faculty. extension.arizona.edu/pubs/az1345-2015.pdf

Originally published: 2004

Other titles from Arizona Cooperative Extension can be found at: extension.arizona.edu/pubs

Any products, services or organizations that are mentioned, shown or indirectly implied in this publication do not imply endorsement by The University of Arizona.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Jeffrey C. Silvertooth, Associate Dean & Director, Extension & Economic Development, College of Agriculture Life Sciences, The University of Arizona. The University of Arizona is an equal opportunity, affirmative action institution. The University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, veteran status, or sexual orientation in its programs and activities.