

\$1+ Cotton? New Thresholds?

Peter C. Ellsworth, Lydia Brown, Al Fournier (University of Arizona) & Steven Naranjo (USDA-ARS, ALARC)

With historically high cotton prices, many farmers wonder if thresholds for insect control should be adjusted downward. As "economic" thresholds, this is an astute question! The answers are in the research that supports our threshold systems and are insectspecific. For *Lygus*, the original research that supports a threshold of 15 total Lygus with at least 4 nymphs per 100 sweeps is based on the yield and revenue curves (Fig. 1). As it turns out, the '15:4' threshold is close to the apex of the curve, where we make the most money. These curves were developed when cotton was worth just 50–75¢ per lb. However, examine our attempts to maximize yield by controlling *Lygus* below the 4 nymphs level (Fig. 1A). We were unable to increase yields with nearly double the number of sprays. The <u>current Lygus</u> threshold is appropriate for the new economics in play. In fact research supports thresholds as high as '15:8'.

We've managed whiteflies for years and perhaps we need a refresher on the threshold levels we use and why. Whiteflies are a potential quality-reducing insect. It takes far fewer whiteflies in the field to impact quality than it does to impact yield (Fig. 2). In fact, it takes 2-4 times the number of whiteflies to impact yield as it does to cause risks for quality reductions. Yield loss does not occur unless >90% of leaves are infested with adults and/or >76% of leaf disks are infested with large nymphs. So here too, there is no need to lower the thresholds for whiteflies. The levels currently in use for Stage I, Fully Selective materials, and for Stage II, Partially Selective materials, are more than sufficient to protect against any yield loss whatsoever, and still ensure high quality cotton by preventing excess whitefly sugars on lint.

Also see:

http://cals.arizona.edu/crops/cotton/insects/lygus/lyg00cr.pdf http://cals.arizona.edu/crops/cotton/insects/lygus/lygus3.pdf http://cals.arizona.edu/pubs/crops/az1224/az12247j.pdf http://cals.arizona.edu/pubs/insects/az1404.pdf




Figure 1. Yield (A, above) & revenue (B) in relation to Lygus densities in nymphs (with at least 15 total Lygus) per 100 sweeps. Maximum yield (A) shown with a green arrow, 1.7 nymphs; however, maximum revenues were measured at 5.2 nymphs for 60¢ cotton (not shown) and 4.8 nymphs for \$1.20 cotton. '15:4' (B) gives the best economic outcome, regardless of lint price. Thresholds not sharing a letter are statistically different from each other.

	not si	ıarıng a te	etter are sta	itistically aifferent from	eacn otner	:
Adult Count Conversion Table						
N	umber of leaves infested with 3 or more adults	% Infested Leaves	Average per Leaf	ARIZ	ONA	Ą
e		3.4	0.3	PESTMAN	AGEMEN	41
Q	2	6.7	0.6	CEN		く
Wait: re-samble	3	10	0.8			
9	4	13	1.0			_
:	5	17	1.3			
ait	6	20	1.5	Large Nymph Coun	t Convers	ion Table
2		23	1.8			
	× 8	27	2.1	Number of disks infested		Average per
See	Matrix 0 0	30	2.3	with live large nymphs	Disks	Disk
S	Na 10	33	2.6	4	13	0.2
	11	37	2.9	8	26	0.5
-	12	40	3.2	12	40	1.0
Use Stage	13	43	3.6	16	52	1.5
Sta	14	47	3.9	18	60	2.0
ġ	15	50	4.3	20 22	67 72	2.5
ເ	16 17	53 57	4.7 5.1	22 23	72 76	3.0 3.5
~		60	5.5	24	80	4.0
ě	19	63	6.0	Y_{25}^{27} eld	83	4.5
5	20	67	6.5	26 0 5	S 85	5.0
ž	21	70	7.1		•	0.0
â	22	73	7.7	Figure 2. Thresholds	s for white	eflu .
Ö	23	77	8.4	control that prevent reductions in		
į	24	80	9.2			
tic	25	83	10.2	quality based on 30-leaf samples. E.g.,		
Adulticide: "Grav" area	26	87	11.3	apply Stage I materials when 40% of		
0	27	90	12.8	leaves have 3 or mor		
V	20	-02	44.0			/ 0

of leaf disks have 1 or more live, large

numphs (green zone).

93 97 18.4

OSS₁₀₀O 34.9

eld